

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

TATUNG COMPANY; TATUNG
COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.; and
VIEWSONIC CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 04-343-JJF

STIPULATION AND ORDER MODIFYING THE SCHEDULING ORDER

THE PARTIES HERETO STIPULATE AND AGREE, subject to the approval of the Court, that the Rule 16 Scheduling Order, dated August 18, 2005 (D.I. 198) (the “Scheduling Order”), be modified in the following ways:

1. Paragraph 4(e) of the Scheduling Order is modified to provide that non-expert depositions shall be completed by **March 2, 2007**.

2. Paragraph 8 of the Scheduling Order is modified to provide as follows:

(a) A Markman Hearing will be held on **March __, 2007 at _____ a.m./p.m.** *(The parties respectfully request that the Court supply a date and time in March 2007, or as soon as possible thereafter, that is available to the Court.)*

(b) Briefing on the claim construction issues shall be completed as follows:

(i) Each party shall exchange a preliminary list of terms believed to require construction by **September 22, 2006**.

(ii) Each party shall exchange a supplemental list of terms believed to require construction by **October 2, 2006**.

(iii) Each party shall exchange proposed constructions of the disputed terms by **October 13, 2006**.

(iv) The parties shall file with the Court a single chart showing each party's proposed construction of the claims at issue, together with the intrinsic evidence supporting that construction, on **November 16, 2006**.

(v) Opening Briefs for each party will be filed by **December 15, 2006**.

(vi) Response Briefs for each party will be filed by **January 31, 2007**.

3. Paragraph 9 of the Scheduling Order is modified to provide that if the Court's Markman decision is entered fewer than six weeks before August 31, 2007, the case-dispositive motions deadline shall be changed to **September 21, 2007**.

4. This modification makes no other changes to the Scheduling Order. All other dates referred to in the Scheduling Order remain fully effective.

THE BAYARD FIRM

/s/ Richard D. Kirk (rk0922)
rkirk@bayardfirm.com
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 900
P.O. Box 25130
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 655-5000
Attorneys for Plaintiff
LG.Philips LCD Co., Ltd.

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

/s/ Richard L. Horwitz (rh2246)
rhorwitz@potteranderson.com
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19899
(302) 984-6000
Attorneys for Defendant ViewSonic
Corporation

RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A.

/s/ Anne Shea Gazam (ag4093)

Frederick L. Cottrell, III

Cottrell@rlf.com

Anne Shea Gaza

gaza@rlf.com

One Rodney Square

P.O. Box 551

Wilmington, DE 19899

(302) 651-7700

*Attorneys for defendants Tatung Company
and Tatung Company of America, Inc.*

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

/s/ Jaclyn M. Mason (jm4737)

Jeffrey B Bove

jbove@cblh.com

Jaclyn M. Mason

jmason@cblh.com

1007 North Orange Street

P.O. Box 2207

Wilmington, Delaware 19899-2207

(302) 658-9141

*Attorneys for Defendant ViewSonic
Corporation*

SO ORDERED this ____ day of _____, 2006.

United States District Judge